

Meeting (no.448) of Broomhill Community Council

11th March 2026 19.00
Broomhill Primary School Library
57 Edgehill Road, G11 7HZ

Minute

1. Welcome

Committee members present were: Barbara McTavish (BMcT, chair), Lorna McWilliams (LMcW, Vice Chair and Treasurer), Elaine Hindle (EH, Victoria Park Area Partnership (VPAP) and Friends of Naseby Park rep), Morag McKerrell (MMcK), Roy Hamdy (RH),

BMcT advised on some changes to the agenda.

BMcT asked it to be noted that there were 5 vacancies on the Community Council. Two applications had been received, one from Andy Gill and one from Maire Moya McCann. These two applicants are elected unopposed as vacancies still exist. They will be provided with an Introduction Toolkit.

2. Introductions

The Chair (BMcT) introduced the Council members and welcomed and Councillor Eunis Jassemi (EJ).

3. Sederunt and Apologies

The attendee's sheets were completed prior to the start of the meeting.

Apologies were received from Isobel Yates (IY, Secretary, VPAP), Abigail Harding (AH, Victoria Park Community Trust), Patricia Ferguson (PF MP), Cllr Lana Reid-McConnell (LRMcC).and PC Andy McKay

4. Previous Minute

The pervious minute was approved online, via Slack.

(a) Matters Arising

BMcT advised that all matters arising were on the agenda except for items being addressed by Cllr Lana Reid-McConnell which would be remitted to the next meeting.

5. **Police Report**

As was previously noted there will no longer be any formal reports provided. Police participants will provide a verbal report if they attend. PC McKay was not in attendance. Email questions will be forwarded to him for a response.

6. **Councillor Reports**

Cllr Eunis Jassemi gave a short update before taking questions. He reported that:

- Parents had raised concerns about the crossing at Olivia's. There is no right turn filter and this is causing problems with bad driving when turning the corner. EJ has arranged a meeting with the relevant road's officer to discuss.
- Marlborough Gardens hedges have been cut and the gate fixed but fence needs repair.
- Road marking beside Clarence Court have been replaced.
- Other items will be covered under the RPZ item.

During the question session the following issues were raised:

- a. The railing at the bottom of the steps from Beechwood Lane to Randolph Rd is damaged and dangerous. EJ advised that he had flagged this up to officers but had not yet received a reply. He advised that residents did not try to resolve the issue themselves.
- b. The issue of the proposed Hub Bins in front of tenements was again discussed. EJ advised that there was a Council plan to roll these hubs out across the city but it would take a long time to implement. The key issue is that the new lorries are too large for some lanes. It was agreed that such bins are totally unsuitable for the Broomhill conservation area and that the lanes have actually more space for the lorries than the roads where parking reduces the road widths. It was noted that the Council should be listening to residents and should not create major change of this nature which is not wanted by residents and will cause great difficulties for the elderly and infirm.

7. **Office Bearer Reports**

(a) Chair: BMcT advised that she had no report.

(b) Secretary & Correspondence: In IY's absence there was no report.

(c) Vice Chair and Treasurer: LMcW advised that there was no Vice Chair report but in her Treasurer's report she advised that there was £2,077.40 in the ordinary administrative fund and £40 in the sponsorship fund.

8. **Interim Election.**

Not required. See Item 1.

9. **Restricted Parking Zone (RPZ) & Open Public Forum**

There was a wide ranging discussion on the status of the RPZ plans which the following points summarise:

- (a) BMcT referred to the report of the meeting with council officials which Andy Gill had provided and which had been sent out before the meeting. The consensus was that this had been a very positive meeting and the BCC had been listened too. Due to the large survey return, 1500 returns, the RPZ process is being put back until 2026/27 and an undertaking has been given to keep residents informed. Andy reported that he had drawn up the report following an email from Bill Kidd, MSP, which means BCC has information in writing about what was agreed at the meeting. This will help if there is any departure from what was agreed. The officials have acknowledged that Thornwood and Broomhill should be addressed separately as their issues are different. The officials were also interested in some of the data put forward and seemed surprised by the significant reduction in parking spaces that would result from their plans.
- (b) LMcW thanked everyone who had contributed to the legal expenses and advised that there had been a meeting with the lawyer and advocate and the funds had been handed over. Andy Gill advised that a letter synopsis which John Telfer (GCC/NRS) drew up for Patricia Ferguson contained a lot of legal points. This is on the web site. LMcW asked residents to continue to provide FOI responses for the lawyer.
- (c) Concerns were expressed about the planned process with workshops being held during the day when people are at work. The process needs to be meaningful and needs to ensure people are able to participate.
- (d) The responses by officials were noted with concern. They advised that the recent survey was voluntary and they didn't need to carry it out so weren't obliged to report on free text information. They also advised that they had no record of the complaints which set off the whole RPZ process but said the process was initiated around 2016. Of particular concern was the arrogant response to queries about costs for teachers in Broomhill School and to some residents who would struggle with the charges. The response was that teachers should change jobs and residents should move. This needs to be highlighted as the response is totally unacceptable.
- (e) EJ reported that the effort to get a meeting between the council officers and the local businesses had been unsuccessful. It has been pushed back. In addition it was discovered that no overall quality impact assessment had been done nor had any assessment on the impact on the Church been carried out.
- (f) In respect of the proposed charges and exemptions, BMcT advised that the RPZ plans seem to be adapted from large English cities and they are working from 20 year old rules for parking permits. They have therefore not considered exemptions for health and social care premises and staff nor for teachers although they did raise possibly considering term time permits for teachers. The Broomhill GP practice has concerns that the cost will mean more requests for home visits. It was noted that it was more expensive to park for a whole day in Hyndland than to park in a city centre private car park. It was also noted that the annual increase in resident permits was much higher than inflation. The Hyndland residents permit has risen from an initial £98 annual charge to a level of £200. The belief is that the plans are a money making exercise and an additional tax on car owners. If not then residents parking should be free.
- (g) The council emphasis is to make residents use more public transport. However, the public transport isn't able to meet people's needs. People on night shifts can't get public transport. Some women find to and from the railway station at night feel unsafe particularly as the new street lamps do not light the streets properly.

- (h) The residents from Westwood Gate were pleased to report that they have now had their 160 parking spaces returned to them where these spaces were in their title deeds. It took a 100 residents and legal representation to get the council to recognise that they had adopted this element of the housing development. The council has adopted some roads in the development and plan to introduce 20 charging spaces in their part of the site. The council had not really done due diligence. They had a similar problem with Mitre Court.
- (i) It was agreed that the pressure had to be kept up on the council and information had to be maintained with the public. It was important to keep it in the council's mind that residents don't want an RPZ and that they won't give up. In particular some of the arrogant responses should be kept in the public eye. Congratulations were given to the BCC and the Westwood Gate residents for keeping up the pressure. BMcT said was down to team work.

10. Updates

- (a) Legal Opinion: Provided under Item 9.
- (b) Victoria Park Area Partnership: EH advised that two representatives of each of four partnerships attended meetings with council officials to agree the dispersal of the Neighbourhood Infrastructure and Improvement Fund (NIIF) for capital projects owned by GCC. VPAP submitted a list of items they wished addressed. To date a number of these items have been assigned as Business As Usual (BAU) items and thus couldn't be addressed with the NIIF money. VPAP is reviewing the list as the money must be committed by April 2027. Looking at options for Christmas lights. Suggestions were made in respect of Broomhill Community Park which has had a minor tidy but does need more investments in respect of benches, lighting and the repair of the paths. A new refuge crossing on Randolph Rd/Clarence Drive has recently been approved as well as some monies towards a VPAP 'pot' for the Thornwood Roundabout redesign processes and we are in consultation around another joint venture to upgrade all underpasses leading to Victoria Park across the ward from Thornwood, Broomhill, Whiteinch and Jordanhill.
- (c) Victoria Park Community Trust: No report
- (d) Friends of Naseby Park: EH advised that the round swing had been replaced in the park. However, it was a much smaller swing which would only be suitable for younger children and not teenagers. A request has been made to get tarmac put down in the gateway at the fence into the lane which provides access to the Church. At the last event the access was very muddy and slippery due to the rain. A new bench for the disabled has also been requested.
- (e) Planning: Nothing to report.
- (f) Carers Forum: MMcK reported that talks were just getting underway. There is an issue with a 30% reduction in the budget. The £30M cost of asylum seekers in hotels is a big issue. EJ confirmed that Glasgow's problem homelessness and its unique policy on housing asylum seekers was creating a real problem with the budget. Additional Scottish Government funding is needed.

11. A.O.C.B.

The following items of business were raised:

- LMcW advised that the dog bag dispenser at the entrance to Naseby Park had been refilled.
- LMcW advised that she had received an email from the former secretary in relation to the annual cost of the BCC web site. The £19.18 fee had been paid.

BMcT thanked Councillor Eunis Jassemi and all the residents for coming.

Date of the next meeting is Wednesday 8th April 2026

The meeting closed at 8.15pm.