

Meeting (no.446) of Broomhill Community Council

**10th December 2025 19.00
Broomhill Primary School Library
57 Edgehill Road, G11 7HZ**

Minutes

1. Welcome

Committee members present were: Barbara McTavish (BMcT, Chair), Lorna McWilliams (LMcW, Vice Chair and Treasurer), Elaine Hindle (EH, Victoria Park Area Partnership VPAP and Friends of Naseby Park Rep), Morag McKerrell (MMcK), Roy Hamdy (RH), Isobel Yates (IY, VPAP), Abigail Harding (AH, Victoria Park Community Trust and Friends of Naseby Park).

In a change to the Order of Business, item 5 Police Report was then given.

2. Introduction

The Chair (BMcT) introduced the Council members and welcomed PC Andy McKay and Councillor Lana Reid-McConnell (LRMcC).

3. Sederunt and Apologies

4. Previous Minutes: Approved online. There were no matters arising.

5. Police Report

PC Andy McKay briefed the meeting firstly about anti-social behaviour and attempted house breaking on Churchill Drive. A photo of the suspect is available online. Incident of youth disorder with eggs thrown on houses and cars. Storm doors were being kicked open and the advice was to be especially mindful on darker nights to make sure doors were robust and secure. Police had attended incident of cannabis cultivation in attic space on Naseby Avenue. There is a Festive Action Plan targeting a spike in shoplifting. Cash registers at commercial premises were also being targeted over past 2 years. Again, please be mindful. There is a Road Traffic Action plan in operation targeting drink and drug fuelled driving. He finished his report advising residents of the importance of home security.

6. Councillor Reports

Cllr Lana Reid-McConnell gave a short update before taking questions.

- The Area Partnership were trying to identify ownership of community park and area on Broomhill Drive.
- Clean teams have been working around Woodcroft and Marlborough Oval
- New Royal Mail post box is here!
- School Day of Action had been held bringing together Police/Parking concerns/School Zone.
- Bowling Green hedge still an issue.
- Update on Crow Road resurfacing, not aware of timeline for “lining”.
- Cross Park Working Group is doing a tree survey assessing what is needing attention. Similar to work being done at Marlborough Gardens Oval.

Concerns were raised about the ‘annihilation’ rather than pruning in Naseby Park, this was felt to be detrimental to wildlife and heavy handed. LRMcC will check with Friends of Naseby Park regarding local maintenance. Residents near Marlborough Gardens Oval had had a campaign including posters and a signboard. They are working through a program of planting bulbs and plants (obtained through BCC funding) making the area revert as it used to look.

LRMcC reported that the consultation on the Thornwood Roundabout closed on Sunday, with 550 responses. The recent fatal accident at the roundabout was also referenced.

She stated that swings at Naseby Park (and swings at Thornwood) would soon be replaced, and acknowledged that, whatever the time of year, there was no good time for this.

Asked about review of lamp-post safety, following the incident at Kirklee, she confirmed that a schedule is in place for replacement of lamp-posts falling in category stage 4 to be replaced or removed. Those on private property or private lanes would be removed.

7. Office Bearer Reports: There were no reports.

8. Election of New Secretary

Since the last meeting of BCC, the previous secretary has moved out of the area and has stepped down from the committee. To allow more time at this meeting to discuss RPZ (see item 9 below), Isobel Yates has agreed to act as interim secretary. Elections will be arranged at a future meeting.

9. Restricted Parking Zone (RPZ) & Open Public Forum

The Chair (BMcT) addressed the meeting asking for a forward-looking discussion. All the information, including the most up to date plan, was available on the website. In 2023 BCC was invited to consult on a new parking strategy, which has not yet been released. In 2024 they were asked for feedback on design plans but were given no sight of published plans until they were released as part of this consultation. Now this seems like ‘express’ consultation with insufficient publicity. These concerns to be passed into Parking Services (PS).

Her main request was for all residents to reply to the local letter they received, the more replies received by the Council the better (whether for or against the proposals). The BCC is concerned about the wide-ranging impact on the elderly, community groups and the school. There is a feeling that the 3-hour visitor parking restriction is unnecessary. They assert that current proposals are unworkable and unnecessary and are asking the Council for more meaningful engagement.

Tonight the BCC is asking for local feedback so that they can move forward with that. She reiterated that it was most important to reply to your own letters.

Various concerns and comments were raised:

- The process of the RPZ was badly handled, especially difficult for those with no internet access. Although some residents were able to attend information meeting at Whiteinch Library a request to hold another meeting at the school was denied. LRMcC will push for this. Consultation time should be extended (already extended to 18th January 2026). LRMcC was asked if she was in favour, she replied that some RPZ was needed in some area for home access and cleaning access. Norman MacLeod suggested that a full-scale campaign against the proposals was needed as per the G52 area.

The information event at the library was generally reported as misleading with conflicting feedback to those present.

- What is meaningful consultation? No one seems to listen to the locals, taking residents space away from private space, feeling at the meeting was that Parking Services (PS) were not listening to anyone. Concerns that the area north of Crow Road and the Thornwood area had been bundled together for spaces counted, while these areas had different issues. Broomhill would be zoned with Thornwood whilst felt closer ties with Hyndland and the Byres Road area.

- There was concern that the council were attempting a “one size fits all” for their parking strategy but not taking into account the different nature of each area. The initial plan for restricting parking Monday – Sunday, 8am until 10pm not appropriate for Broomhill, and current Option B was Monday – Saturday 8am – 6 pm. Concerns were raised about having no formal parking for the school, the local GP surgery and for those working in the area. The head teacher had been told that there would be no special treatment. Those working in Caring and Health Care would have no special standing, and suggestions of being re-imbursed for parking charges were said to be unlikely.

- A question was asked about how many objections it would take before the plan was abandoned, and why should it be implemented when the vast majority of residents were against. There was belief that it was simply a money-making scheme by the council. LRMCC informed the meeting that the RPZ should pay for itself. Residents did not understand why it was being implemented when not needed.

- Question: Mobility, Care, Age related – has there been an impact assessment? IY replied not yet.

- General concern over the lack of spaces available once bays are designated, parking only on one side of street rather than both, cars already being ticketed for parking on pavement, suggestion that roads widened and pavements narrowed. There was suggestion about co-designing issue to suit Broomhill.

- Costs of permits of concern, £120/car, £1050/commercial with charges dependent on vehicle emissions, potentially charging older vehicles more than electric SUVs, when all bays are the same size.

- The timescale for consultation was discussed. Following Statutory Consultation there will be a Traffic Regulation Order, then 21 days to object after this. Council needs to have done due diligence and collect evidence that prove these measures are needed. Various aspects of the Glasgow Parking Strategy are not available for public scrutiny.

LRMcC will relay residents’ concerns.

- There was robust discussion about the boundaries of the area, and impact neighbouring areas not yet subject to parking controls. Discussions also about a formal campaign against. There are already two petitions running but not by BCC. Re-emphasised the advice for individuals to reply to the consultation and express their views direct by emailing any/all of the Ward 12 councillors.

- Businesses will also raise concerns. Sanjay at Broomhill Cross has paper copies of the questionnaire for those unable to access via the internet. Suggestion that should be easier to access and that PS were deliberately making this difficult.

BMcT stated that BCC will chase residents concerns and again asked all residents to respond directly. If anyone present wanted to take a more active part they should annotate their name on the signing-in sheet and BCC could set-up a “short term working group”.

She thanked Councillor Lana Reid McConnell and all the residents for coming.

10. **A.O.C.B.** None

The meeting closed at 20.58.